2023-2024 AKADEMİK YILI / Academic Year

EĞİTİMDE KALİTE GÜVENCESİ YILLIK RAPORU

QUALITY ASSURANCE IN EDUCATION ANNUAL REPORT

MÜHENDİSLİK FAKÜLTESİ FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

BİLGİSAYAR MÜHENDİSLİĞİ LİSANS PROGRAMI (CS)

COMPUTER ENGINEERING UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM (CS)



İÇİNDEKİLER / CONTENTS
1. BOLOM HARRINDA/ ABOUT THE DEPARTMENT
I.I. EGITIM AMAÇLAKI / EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES
1.1.1. DANIŞMA KURULU / ADVISORY BOARD
1.2. LISANS PROGRAMI / UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM
1.2.1. MUFREDAT / CURRICULUM
1.2.2. DERSLERIN DAGILIMI / DISTRIBUTION COURSES
1.3. OGRENCILER/STUDENTS
1.3.1. OGRENCI SAYILARI / NUMBER OF STUDENTS
1.3.2. YABANCI OGRENCI SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FOREIGN STUDENTS
1.4. OGRETIM ELEMANLARI / FACULTY MEMBERS
1.4.1. OGRETIM ELEMANI SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FACULTY MEMBERS
1.4.2. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF FACULTY MEMBERS
1.5. EGITIMDE KALITE KOMITESI / COMMITTEE OF QUALITY IN EDUCATION
2. TÜRKİYE YÜKSEKÖĞRETİM YETERLİLİKLER ÇERÇEVESİ – ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER / TURKISH HIGHER EDUCATION QUALIFICATIONS FRAMEWORK - NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS
3. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI / PROGRAM OUTCOMES
3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES
3.2. ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER İLE PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI BAĞLANTI TABLOSU / NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CONNECTION TABLE
4. DERSLER / COURSES
4.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI - DERSLER MATRİSİ / PROGRAM OUTCOMES - COURSES TABLE 13
4.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMÜNDE KULLANILAN METRİKLER / METRICS TO BE USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT
4.2.1. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN DEĞERLENDİRME METOTLARI / / EVAULATION METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS
4.2.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN METOTLAR VE PERFORMANS SONUÇ DETAYLARI / METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS AND PERFORMANCE RESULT DETAILS 31
4.3. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARI / PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RESULTS
4.3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS TABLOSU / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE TABLE
4.3.2. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS ORANLARI / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE RATES
5. DEĞERLENDİRME / EVALUATION
5.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES MEASUREMENT RESULTS
5.2. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES
Appendix A. Graduation Survey Results
APPENDIX B. External Advisory Board Meeting Log

MÜHENDİSLİK FAKÜLTESİ / FACULTY OF ENGINEERING BİLGİSAYAR MÜHENDİSLİĞİ LİSANS PROGRAMI – CS / COMPUTER ENGINEERING UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM – CS

1. BÖLÜM HAKKINDA / *ABOUT THE DEPARTMENT* **1.1. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARI /** *EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES*

Bilkent Üniversitesi Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Bölümü mezunları / Bilkent University Computer Engineering Department graduates;

- Teknoloji değişikliklerine uyum sağlarken güçlü teknik yeterlilik ve yeterlilik sergileyerek bilgisayar mühendisliği ve biliminde başarılı kariyerler oluşturan, / Establish successful careers in computer engineering and science, demonstrating strong technical competence and proficiency while adapting to technology changes;
- 2) Lisansüstü programlarda ileri düzeydeki çalışmaları ve araştırmaları başarıyla tamamlayarak kendi alanlarında tanınan ve etkili uzmanlar haline gelen, / *Successfully complete advanced studies and research in graduate programs to become recognized and influential experts in their fields;*
- 3) Lisansüstü programlarda ileri düzeydeki çalışmaları ve araştırmaları başarıyla tamamlayarak kendi alanlarında tanınan ve etkili uzmanlar haline gelen, / *Develop innovative solutions in computer engineering practice and research using creativity and analytical thinking;*
- 4) İşbirliği, liderlik, inisiyatif ve dürüstlük gibi güçlü sosyal beceriler sergileyen örnek profesyonellerdir. / *Are model professionals, exhibiting strong soft skills including collaboration, leadership, initiative, and integrity.*

1.1.1. DANIŞMA KURULU / ADVISORY BOARD

- Çağrı Kılıboz, Ürün Müdürü, Milsoft / Çağrı Kılıboz, Product Manager, Milsoft
- Eren Akbaba, Takım Lideri, IBM / Eren Akbaba, Team Leader, IBM
- Gülsüm Ece Ekşi, Kıdemli Yazılım Mühendisi, Yela Tech / Gülsüm Ece Ekşi, Senior Software Engineer, Yela Tech
- İpek Palamut, Kıdemli Yazılım Mühendisi, Turkcell Teknoloji / İpek Palamut, Senior Software Engineer, Turkcell Technology
- Kurtuluş Tirelmaz, Program Müdürü, Havelsan / Kurtuluş Tirelmaz, Program Manager, Havelsan
- Mehmet Zahit Ateş, Bilgi Teknolojileri Genel Müdürü, TCMB / Mehmet Zahit Ateş, Information Technologies General Manager, TCMB
- Nurettin Mert Aydın, Mühendislik Müdürü (Ödemeler), Udemy / Nurettin Mert Aydın, Engineering Manager (Payments), Udemy
- Özer Aydemir, Yönetici / Kurucu Ortak, IOTIQ GmbH / Özer Aydemir, Manager / Co-Founder, IOTIQ GmbH
- Tolga Soyer, Kıdemli Mühendis, ASELSAN / Tolga Soyer, Senior Engineer, ASELSAN

1.2. LİSANS PROGRAMI / UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM

1.2.1. MÜFREDAT / CURRICULUM

Birinci Yıl/First Year										
	Güz Dönemi / Fall Semester									
Dors Kod /		Saatler	/ Hours	Kredi/	Credits					
Course Code	Ders Adı/ Course Name	Ders / Lecture	Lab/Stüdyo/ Diğer/Lab/ Studio/Others	Bilkent	ECTS					
CS 101	Algoritmalar ve Programlama I / Algorithms and Programming I	3	4	4	6,5					
ENG 101	İngilizce ve Kompozisyon I / English and Composition I	5	0	3	5					
GE 100	Üniversite Hayatına Giriş / Orientation	0	0	1	2					
MATH 101	Matematik I / Calculus I	4	0	4	6,5					
MBG 110	Modern Biyolojiye Giriş / Introduction to Modern Biology	3	0	3	5					
TURK 101	Türkçe I / Turkish I	0	0	2	3,5					
	Bahar Dönemi/ S	pring Semester								
Ders Kod /		Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits						
Course Code	Ders Adı/ Course Name	Ders / Lecture	Lab/Stüdyo/ Diğer/Lab/ Studio/Others	Bilkent	ECTS					
CS 102	Algoritmalar ve Programlama II / Algorithms and Programming II	3	4	4	6,5					
ENG 102	İngilizce ve Kompozisyon II / English and Composition II	5	0	3	5					
MATH 102	Matematik II / Calculus II	4	0	4	6,5					
MATH 132	Sonlu ve Kombinasyonal Matematik / Discrete and Combinatorial Mathematics	3	0	3	5					
TURK 102	Türkçe II / Turkish II	0	0	2	3,5					

İkinci Yıl/ Second Year									
Güz Dönemi / Fall Semester									
		Saatler	/ Hours	Kredi/	Credits				
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı/ Course Name	Ders / Lecture	Lab/Stüdyo/ Diğer/Lab/ Studio/Others	Bilkent	ECTS				
CS 201	Bilgisayar Biliminin Temelleri I / Fundamental Structures of Computer Science I	3	0	3	5				
CS 223	Sayısal Devre Tasarımı / Digital Design	3	4	4	6,5				
GE 250	Üniversite Etkinlik Programı I / Collegiate Activities Program I	0	0	0	1				
HIST 200	Türkiye Tarihi / History of Turkey	3	0	4	6,5				
HUM 111	Kültürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler I / Cultures Civilizations and Ideas I	3	0	3	5				
PHYS 101	Genel Fizik I / General Physics I	3	3	4	6,5				
	Bahar Dönemi/S	pring Semester							
Ders Kod /		Saatler	r/Hours	Kredi/Credits					
Course Code	Ders Adı/ Course Name	Ders / Lecture	Lab/Stüdyo/ Diğer/Lab/ Studio/Others	Bilkent	ECTS				
CS 202	Bilgisayar Biliminin Temelleri II / Fundamental Structures of Computer Science II	3	0	3	5				
CS 224	Bilgisayar Yapısı / Computer Organization	3	4	4	6,5				
GE 251	Üniversite Etkinlik Programı II / Collegiate Activities Program II	0	0	1	2				
HUM 112	Kültürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler II / Cultures Civilizations and Ideas II	3	0	3	5				
HUM 112 MATH 225	Kültürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler II / Cultures Civilizations and Ideas II Doğrusal Cebir ve Türevsel Denklemler / Linear Algebra and Differential Equations	3	0	3	5				

Üçüncü Yıl / Third Year										
	Güz Dönemi / Fall Semester									
Dara Kad (Saatler	/ Hours	Kredi/	Credits					
Ders Kod / Course Code	Ders Adı/ Course Name	Ders / Lecture	Lab/Stüdyo/ Diğer/Lab/ Studio/Others	Bilkent	ECTS					
CS 299	Yaz Stajı I / Summer Training I	0	0	0	7					
CS 315	Programlama Dilleri / Programming Languages	3	0	3	5					
CS 319	Nesneye Yönelik Yazılım Mühendisliği / Object-Oriented Software Engineering	3	0	4	6,5					
ENG 401	Teknik Rapor Yazma ve Sunum / Technical Report Writing and Presentation	3	0	3	5					
MATH 230	Mühendisler İçin Olasılık ve İstatistik / Probability and Statistics for Engineers	3	0	3	5					
	Temel Sosyal Bilimler Seçmeli Dersi / Social Science Core Elective			3						
	Bahar Dönemi/S	pring Semester								
Ders Kod /		Saatler	/ Hours	Kredi / Credits						
Course Code	Ders Adı/ Course Name	Ders / Lecture	Lab/Stüdyo/ Diğer/Lab/ Studio/Others	Bilkent	ECTS					
CS 342	İşletim Sistemleri / Operating Systems	3	0	4	6,5					
CS 353	Veri Tabanı Sistemleri / Database Systems	3	0	3	5					
CS 473	Algoritmalar I / Algorithms I	3	0	3	5					
GE 301	Bilim, Teknoloji ve Toplum / Science Technology and Society	2	0	2	3,5					
	Temel Sanat Seçmeli Dersi / Arts Core Elective			3						

Dördüncü Yıl/Fourth Year									
	Güz Dönemi/Fall Semester								
Ders Kod /		Saatler	r/Hours	Kredi / Credits					
Course Code	Ders Adı/ Course Name	Ders / Lecture	Lab/Stüdyo/ Diğer/Lab/ Studio/Others	Bilkent	ECTS				
CS 399	Yaz Stajı II / Summer Training II	0	0	0	7				
EEE 391	Temel Sinyaller ve Sistemler / Basics of Signals and Systems	3	0	3	5				
IE 400	Mühendislik Yönetiminin İlkeleri / Principles of Engineering Management	3 0		3	5				
	Genel Seçmeli Ders / General Elective			3					
	Proje Seçmeli Dersi / Project Elective			3					
	Teknik Seçmeli Ders (2) / Technical Elective (2)			6					
	Bahar Dönemi/S	pring Semester							
Ders Kod /		Saatler	r/Hours	Kredi / Credits					
Course Code	Ders Adı/ Course Name	Ders / Lecture	Lab/Stüdyo/ Diğer/Lab/ Studio/Others	Bilkent	ECTS				
CS 476	Otomata Teorisi ve Formal Diller / Automata Theory and Formal Languages	3	0	3	5				
	Proje Seçmeli Dersi / Project Elective			3					
	Teknik Seçmeli Ders (3) / Technical Elective (3)			9					



1.2.2. DERSLERİN DAĞILIMI / DISTRIBUTION COURSES

Grafik.1.2.2. Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Programı Müfredatındaki Derslerin Dağılımı / *Graphic.1.2.2.* Distribution of Courses in the Computer Engineering Undergraduate Program Curriculum

1.3. ÖĞRENCİLER / STUDENTS

1.3.1. ÖĞRENCİ SAYILARI / NUMBER OF STUDENTS

	Öğrenci Sayıları/ Number of Students
Hazırlık / Prep	47
1. Sınıf / 1. Class	190
2. Sınıf / 2. Class	206
3. Sınıf / 3. Class	234
4. Sınıf / 4. Class	227
Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Total Number of Students	904

Tablo.1.3.1. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Programı ÖğrenciSayıları / Table.1.3.1. Number of Students in Computer Engineering Undergraduate Program for the 2023-2024 Academic Year

/	
Sayıları / Number	Yabancı Öğrenci r of Foreign Students
Hazırlık / Prep	1
1. Smif / 1. Class	16
2. Sınıf / 2. Class	23
3. Sınıf / 3. Class	16
4. Sınıf / 4. Class	11
Toplam Yabancı Öğrenci Sayısı / Total Number of Foreign Students	67

1.3.2. YABANCI ÖĞRENCİ SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FOREIGN STUDENTS

Tablo.1.3.2. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Programı YabancıÖğrenci Sayıları / Table.1.3.2. Number of Foreign Students in Computer Engineering UndergraduateProgram for the 2023-2024 Academic Year

1.4. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARI / FACULTY MEMBERS

1.4.1. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANI SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FACULTY MEMBERS

Öğretim Elemanı Sayıları / Number of Faculty Members					
Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	10				
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	2				
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Asisstant Professor	7				
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	18				
Araştırma Görevlisi / Research Assistant	1				
Toplam Öğretim Elemanı Sayısı / Total Number of Faculty Members	38				

Tablo.1.4.1. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılında Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Programı Kadroluve Yarı Zamanlı Öğretim Elemanı Sayıları / Table.1.4.1. Number of Full-Time and Part-Time FacultyMembers in the Computer Engineering Undergraduate Program in the 2023-2024 Academic Year

Öğretim Elemanının Unvanı / Title of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Çalışma Şekli / Work-mode of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Adı - Soyadı / Name-Surname of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Unvanı / Title of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Çalışma Şekli / Work-mode of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Adı - Soyadı / Name-Surname of Faculty Member
Araştırma Görevlisi / Research Assistant	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Sinan Sonlu	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Alper Sarıkan
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Can Alkan	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Karani Kardaş
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Abdullah Ercüment Çiçek	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Mustafa Dinç
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Hamdi Dibeklioğlu	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Serdar Bilici
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Eray Tüzün	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Eren Akbaba
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Shervin Rahimzadeh Arashloo	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Onur Karabulut
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Ayşegül Dündar Boral	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Mert Bıçakçı
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Salih Özgür Öğüz	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Atakan Erdem
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Sinem Sav	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Aynur Dayanık
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Anıl Koyuncu	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Halil Altay Güvenir
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	İpek Sözen	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Özgür Ulusoy
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Sibel Uğurlubilek	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Uğur Güdükbay
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Rabia Üşenmez	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Uğur Doğrusöz
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Lori Rae Russell Dağ	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	İbrahim Körpeoğlu
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Ayışığı Başak Sevdik Çallı	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Selim Aksoy
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Nur Sağlam	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Cevdet Aykanat
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Ayşe Semra Mumcu	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Özcan Öztürk
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Haluk Altunel	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Varol Akman
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Semra Güleç	Professor Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Fazlı Can

1.4.2. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF FACULTY MEMBERS

Tablo.1.4.2. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılında Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Programı Kadrolu ve Yarı Zamanlı Öğretim Elemanı Listesi /*Table.1.4.2.* List of Full-Time and Part-Time Faculty Members in the Computer Engineering Undergraduate Program in the 2023-2024 Academic Year

1.5. EĞİTİMDE KALİTE KOMİTESİ / COMMITTEE OF QUALITY IN EDUCATION

Özgür UlusoyAbdullah Ercüment Çiçek

2. TÜRKİYE YÜKSEKÖĞRETİM YETERLİLİKLER ÇERÇEVESİ – ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER / TURKISH HIGHER EDUCATION QUALIFICATIONS FRAMEWORK -NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

		Basic Field Qualifications for Engineering (Academic - Weighted) - 6th Level - Bachelor's							
	KNOWLEDGE	CVIII C		COMPE	TENCIES				
LEVEL OF THEQF	SKILLS -Theoretical -Factual	-Cognitive -Practical	Ability to Work Independently and Take Responsibility	Learning Competence	Communication and Social Competence	Field-Specific Competence			
6th Level Bachelor's EQF-LLL: 6th Level QF-EHEA: 1st Cycle	K1. Have sufficient background in mathematics, sciences and their own field of study.	 S1. Make use of theoretical and practical knowledge on mathematics, sciences and their own field concurrently for engineering solutions. S2. Identify, define, formulate and solve engineering problems; select and apply analytical methods and modeling techniques appropriate for this purpose. S3. Analyze a system, a system component or a process; make a design in consideration of realistic constraints in order to meet the needs expected; and apply modern design methods. S4. Select and use modern techniques and devices required for engineering applications. S5. Design and conduct experiments, collect data, 	W1. Assume active responsibility in individual work or multi-disciplinary team work. W2. Accesses information and makes source research for this purpose, uses databases and other information sources.	L1. Know how to access information and do literature survey; and make use of databases and other information resources. L2. Be aware of the need for lifelong learning; keep up with the developments in science and technology and renew themselves continuously. L3. Make use of theoretical and practical knowledge on mathematics, sciences and their own field concurrently for engineering solutions. L4. Identify, define, formulate and solve engineering problems; select and apply analytical methods and modeling techniques appropriate for this purpose. L5. Analyze a system, a system component or a process; make a design in consideration of realistic constraints in order to meet	 C1. Uses information and communication technologies together with computer software required by the field at least Advanced Level of European Computer Driving License. C2. Communicate in oral and written form in a foreign language at minimum B1 level, as defined by the European Language Portfolio. C3. Communicates using technical drawing. C4. Accesses information and makes source research for this purpose, uses databases and other information sources. C5. Becomes aware of the universal and social effects of engineering solutions and applications; become aware of entrepreneurship and innovation and have 	F1. Have sense of professional and ethical responsibility. F2. Have consciousness about project management, workplace practices, workers' health, environmental risk evaluation, environmental and work safety; and have awareness about legal consequences of engineering applications. F3. Becomes aware of the universal and social effects of engineering solutions and applications; become aware of entrepreneurship and innovation and have knowledge about the problems of the age.			

analyze a	and interpret	the needs expected; and apply	knowledge about the	
re	sults.	modern design methods.	problems of the age.	
		L6. Select and use modern		
		techniques and devices		
		required for engineering		
		applications.		
		L7. Assume active		
		responsibility in individual		
		work or multi-disciplinary		
		team work.		

3. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI / PROGRAM OUTCOMES

3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES

- *a.* Mühendislik, fen bilimleri ve matematik ilkelerini uygulayarak karmaşık mühendislik problemlerini tanımlama, formüle etme ve çözme becerisine sahiptir. / *An ability to identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics.*
- b. Kamu sağlığı, güvenliği ve refahının yanı sıra küresel, kültürel, sosyal, çevresel ve ekonomik faktörleri de dikkate alarak belirlenen ihtiyaçları karşılayacak çözümler üretmek için mühendislik tasarımını uygulama becerisine sahiptir. / An ability to identify engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with consideration of public health, safety and welfare, as well as global, cultural, social, environmental, and economic factors.
- c. Çeşitli kitlelerle etkili bir şekilde iletişim kurabilme becerisine sahiptir. / An ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences.
- d. Mühendislik pozisyonlarında etik ve profesyonel sorumlulukları tanıma ve mühendislik çözümlerinin küresel, ekonomik, çevresel ve toplumsal bağlamlardaki etkisini dikkate alması gereken bilinçli kararlar verme becerisine sahiptir. / An ability to recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which must consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts.

- *e.* Tüm üyeleri ile birlikte, liderlik sağlayan, işbirlikçi ve kapsayıcı bir ortam yaratan, hedefler belirleyen, görevleri planlayan ve hedeflere ulaşan bir ekipte etkili bir şekilde çalışabilme becerisine sahiptir. / *An ability to function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives.*
- *f.* Uygun deneyler geliştirme ve yürütme, verileri analiz etme ve yorumlama ve tüm bunlardan sonuç çıkarmak için mühendislik yargısını kullanma becerisine sahiptir. / *An ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgement to draw conclusions.*
- **g.** Uygun öğrenme stratejilerini kullanarak gerektiğinde yeni bilgi edinme ve uygulama becerisine sahiptir. / An ability to acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies.
- *h.* Öğrenciler, derslerin yanı sıra çeşitli ve yaratıcı, sanatsal, kültürel, sportif ve entelektüel faaliyetlere katılarak kampüs hayatından daha fazla faydalanırlar. / *Take advantage of the campus life where students are engaged in diversity, creativity and commitment outside coursework through artistic, cultural, sportive and intellectual activities.*

3.2. ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER İLE PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI BAĞLANTI TABLOSU / NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CONNECTION TABLE

Ulusal Yeterlilikler/	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes								
National Competencies	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	
K1	~								
S1	>								
S2	~								
S3	>	~							
S4	>								
S5						~			
W1					~			~	
W2							~		
L1							~		
L2							~		
L3	>								
L4	>								
L5	>	~							
L6	>								
L7					~			~	
C1	>								
C2			~						
C3			~						
C4							~		
C5				~					
F1				~					
F2				~					
F3		~		~					

Tablo.3.2. Ulusal Yeterlilikler ile Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Programı Program ÇıktılarıBağlantı Tablosu / Table.3.2. National Qualifications and Computer Engineering Undergraduate ProgramProgram Outcomes Link Table

4. DERSLER / COURSES

4.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI – DERSLER MATRİSİ / PROGRAM OUTCOMES - COURSES TABLE

Dersler / Courses			Program	Çıktıları	/ Program	Outcomes			Dorelor / Courses			Program	Çıktıları	/ Program	Outcomes		
Dersier / Courses	а	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	Dersier / Courses	а	b	с	d	e	f	g	h
CS 101				~		~			GE 100			~	~			>	~
CS 102	~	~				~			GE 250			~				~	~
CS 201	~					~			GE 251			~				~	~
CS 202	~					~			GE 301				~	~		~	
CS 223		~							HIST 200			~		~		~	
CS 224						~			HUM 111			~				~	
CS 299	~		~	~			~		HUM 112			~				~	
CS 315		~			~		~		IE 400	~				~			
CS 319	~	~	~		~				MATH 101	~		~		~			
CS 342						~			MATH 102	>		~		>			
CS 353	~	~							MATH 132	>							
CS 399	~		~	~			~		MATH 225	>							
CS 473	~	~							MATH 230	~							
CS 476	~								MBG 110	~							
EEE 391	~						~		PHYS 101	~	~			~		~	
ENG 101			~				~		PHYS 102	~	~			~		~	
ENG 102			~				~		TURK 101			~				~	
ENG 401			~				~		TURK 102			~				~	

Tablo.4.1. Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Program - Program Çıktıları ve Dersler Tablosu / Table.4.1. Computer Engineering Undergraduate Program -

Program

4.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMÜNDE KULLANILAN METRİKLER / *METRICS TO BE USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT*

4.2.1. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN DEĞERLENDİRME METOTLARI// EVAULATION METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

4.2.1.1. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2023-2024 Academic Year Fall Semester;

Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	d	100	100	M1	40	75					
CS 101	Program Outputs	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	f	100	100	M1	40	75					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Lab work	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	а	30	30	10	30	100	M1	40	75		
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
CS 102	b	33	34	33	100	M1	40	75			
	Program Outputs	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	f	100	100	M1	40	75					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	a	33	34	33	100	M1	50	75		 	
CS 201	Program Outputs	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	f	100	100	M1	50	75					

Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	а	50	50	100	M1	50	75				
CS 202	Program Outputs	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	f	100	100	M1	50	75					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Lab work	Midterm:Open- Book	Final:Open- book	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
CS 223	b	15	35	35	15	100	M1	50	75		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
CS 224	f	100	100	M1	50	75					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Reports about an internship	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	а	100	100	M1	50	75					
	Program Outputs	Reports about an internship	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	с	100	100	M1	50	75					
CS 299	Program Outputs	Reports about an internship	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	d	100	100	M1	50	75					
	Program Outputs	Reports about an internship	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	g	100	100	M1	50	75					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Project	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	b	50	50	100	M1	50	75				
	Program Outputs	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
CS 315	e	100	100	M1	50	75					
	Program Outputs	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	g	100	100	M1	50	75					

Г Г				Qualification	(Average)					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Total Contribution	Calculation Method	Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	а	100	100	M1	50	75				
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/writ ten	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	b	100	100	M1	50	75				
CS 319	Program Outputs	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	с	100	100	M1	50	75				
	Program Outputs	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	e	100	100	M1	50	75				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
CS 342	f	100	100	M1	50	75				
				•	•	•				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Homework	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	a	40	40	10	10	100	M1	50	75	
CS 353	Program Outputs	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	b	100	100	M1	50	75				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Reports about an internship	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	а	100	100	M1	50	75				
	Program Outputs	Reports about an internship	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	с	100	100	M1	50	75				
CS 399	Program Outputs	Reports about an internship	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	d	100	100	M1	50	75				
	Program Outputs	Reports about an internship	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	g	100	100	M1	50	75				

Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	a	40	25	35	100	M1	40	75				
CS 473	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	b	40	60	100	M1	40	75					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)						
CS 476	а	100	100	M1	40	75						
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Mini Project	Mini Project	Homework	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	a	35	40	7,5	7,5	5	5	100	M1	40	80	
EEE 391	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Mini Project	Mini Project	Homework	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	g	35	40	7,5	7,5	5	5	100	M1	40	80	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led Discussion	Academic Summary and Critical Response Task	Self-progress Reflection Task	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
	с	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M1	70	75
ENG 101	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led Discussion	Academic Summary and Critical Response Task	Self-progress Reflection Task	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
	g	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M1	70	75
Course Code	Program Outputs	Library Skills Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	с	5	20	20	10	30	15	100	M1	70	70	
1								T (1	Qualification	(Average)	0 110 11	
ENG 102	Program Outputs	Library Skills Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Contribution	Calculation Method	Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Oral presentation	Written Project Proposal	Written Final Report	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	с	15	30	20	35	100	M1	70	80	
ENG 401	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Oral presentation	Written Project Proposal	Written Final Report	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	g	15	30	20	35	100	M1	70	80	
Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	с	100	100	M1	12	80				
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	d	100	100	M1	12	80				
GE 100	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	g	100	100	M1	12	80				
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	h	100	100	M1	12	80				
Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
Ĺ	с	100	100	M1	70	70				
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
GE 251	g	100	100	M1	70	70				
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	h	100	100	M1	70	70				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Final	Midterm	Project	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Final	Midterm	Project	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	е	25	30	30	15	100	M1	45	60			
GE 301	Program Outputs	Final	Midterm	Project	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	g	25	30	30	15	100	M1	45	60			
			•	•	•	•	•		•	•		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	с	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75				
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
HIST 200	е	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75				
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	g	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	с	30	30	10	30	100	M1	60	75			
HUM 111	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	g	30	30	10	30	100	M1	60	75			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Quizzes	In-class participation	Final:Essay/ written	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	с	30	10	30	30	100	M1	60	75			
HUM 112	Program Outputs	Quizzes	In-class participation	Final:Essay/ written	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	g	30	10	30	30	100	M1	60	75			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Quiz	Quiz	Quiz	Quiz	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	а	25	10	10	10	10	35	100	M1	30	75	
IE 400	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Quiz	Quiz	Quiz	Quiz	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	е	25	10	10	10	10	35	100	M1	30	75	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Final	Quiz	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	а	25	25	30	10	10	100	M1	40	50		
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Final	Quiz	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
MATH 101	с	25	25	30	10	10	100	M1	40	50		
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Final	Quiz	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	e	25	25	30	10	10	100	M1	40	50		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Midterm	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	a	30	30	40	100	M1	40	50				
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Midterm	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
MATH 102	с	30	30	40	100	M1	40	50				
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Midterm	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	e	30	30	40	100	M1	40	50				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Midterm:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade
		2	2	2	2	2	30	30	30	100	M1	40
MATH 132	а	Qualification Threshold (%) 50										
	r			r	T	1	1	1				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
MATH 225	а	50	50	100	M1	40	50					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade
		40	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	2,5	45	100	M1	30
MATH 230	a	Qualification Threshold (%) 75										

Course Code	Program Outputs	Quiz	Quiz	Quiz	Midterm	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
MBG 110	a	5	5	5	25	30	30	100	M1	50	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	a	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	b	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
PHYS 101	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	g	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	a	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	b	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
PHYS 102	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	g	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	с	70	30	100	M1	70	60	ļ				
TURK 101	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	g	70	30	100	M1	70	60					

Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
	с	70	30	100	M1	70	60
TURK 102	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
	g	70	30	100	M1	70	60

Ölçümlerde Kullanılan Metotlarla İlgili Açıklamalar / Explanations About the Methods Used in Measurements

Bütün metotlar için sadece dersi geçen öğrencilerin notları kullanılacaktır. / For all methods, only the grades of students who pass the course will be used.

- G = Bölüm tarafından belirlenmiş olan başarılı sayılabilecek minimum not / G = Minimum grade that can be considered successful as determined by the department
- T = Program çıktısı başarısı için eşik değer / T = Threshold value for program output success
- M1: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az G olması / M1: T% of the students to have a semester total of at least G
- M2: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az bölümdeki dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması/ M2: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least that of the department average
- M3: Öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalamasının en az G olması / M3: Average semester total of students of the department to be at least G
- M4: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az tüm bölümlerdeki tüm öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması / M4: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least average semester total of all students from all departments

4.2.1.2. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2023-2024 Academic Year Spring Semester;

			1	r						
Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	d	100	100	M1	40	75				
CS 101	Program Outputs	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	f	100	100	M1	40	75				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Lab work	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	а	30	30	10	30	100	M1	40	75	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
CS 102	b	33	34	33	100	M1	40	75		
	Program Outputs	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	f	100	100	M1	40	75				
	•		•	•						
Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	а	33	34	33	100	M1	50	75		
CS 201	Program Outputs	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	f	100	100	M1	50	75				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
					Method	Giade				
	a	50	50	100	M1	50	75			
CS 202	a Program Outputs	50 Homework	50 Total Contribution	100 Qualification Calculation Method	Method M1 (Average) Qualification Grade	50 Qualification Threshold (%)	75			

Course Code	Program Outputs	Lab work	Midterm:Open- Book	Final:Open-book	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
CS 223	b	15	35	35	15	100	M1	50	75	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
CS 224	f	100	100	M1	50	75				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Project	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	b	50	50	100	M1	50	75			
	Program Outputs	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
CS 315	e	100	100	M1	50	75				
	Program Outputs	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	g	100	100	M1	50	75				
			1	1		1				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	а	100	100	M1	50	75				
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	b	100	100	M1	50	75				
CS 319	Program Outputs	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	с	100	100	M1	50	75				
	Program Outputs	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	е	100	100	M1	50	75				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
CS 342	f	100	100	M1	50	75				

	1		1	1		1	1	1	1			
		Midterm Fssav/	Final Fssav/		Total	Qualification	(Average)	Qualification				
Course Code	Program Outputs	writton	writton	Homework	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)				
		written	witten		Contribution	Method	Grade	Threshold (70)				
	а	40	40	20	100	M1	50	75				
				Oualification	(Average)							
CS 353	Program Outputs	Project	Total	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification						
00000	110grani Outputs	Hojee	Contribution	Mathad	Cando	Threshold (%)						
				Method	Grade							
	b	100	100	MI	50	75						
			-	-		_	-	_	-			
			Midtorm Eccard	Final/Forar/	Total	Qualification	(Average)	Qualification				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	withterin.Essay/	Fillal.ESSay/	Total	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification				
			written	written	Contribution	Method	Grade	Inresnoid (%)				
	а	40	25	35	100	M1	40	75				
	ű	10	20	00	Qualification	(Average)	10					
CC 472	Berner Outerste	Midterm:Essay/	Final:Essay/	Total	Calmitation	Qualification	Qualification					
C5475	Frogram Outputs	written	written	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)					
					Method	Grade		-				
	b	40	60	100	M1	40	75					
		Final/F/	Tet-1	Qualification	(Average)	Omalification						
Course Code	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/	Total	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification						
		written	Contribution	Method	Grade	Threshold (%)						
CS 476	2	100	100	M1	40	75						
0470	a	100	100	IVII	40	75						
	1								~			
		Midterm:Essay/	Final:Essav/					Total	Qualification	(Average)	Oualification	
Course Code	Program Outputs	written	written	Mini Project	Mini Project	Homework	Homework	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)	
		million	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					controlation	Method	Grade	111105110111 (70)	
	а	35	40	7,5	7,5	5	5	100	M1	40	80	
		Midtana Faran	Einel/Enner/					Tetal	Qualification	(Average)	Oralifiation	
EEE 391	Program Outputs	withderm:Essay/	rinal:Essay/	Mini Project	Mini Project	Homework	Homework	Total	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification	
		written	written	-	-			Contribution	Method	Grade	Threshold (%)	
	σ	35	40	75	75	5	5	100	M1	40	80	
	8			. /*	. /e	-	Ţ					
				1		A		1				
						Academic				Qualification	(Average)	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led	Summary and	Self-progress	Final	Total	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification
	0 1	,	,		Discussion	Critical Response	Reflection Task		Contribution	Method	Grade	Threshold (%)
						Task						
	с	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M1	70	75
						Academic				O-stiff if	(4	
					Student Led	Summary and	Self-progress		Total	Qualification	(Average)	Oualification
ENG 101	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Discussion	Critical Response	Reflection Task	Final	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)
					Discussion	Tack	itericenton rusit		communitie	Method	Grade	1111001010 (70)
		20	25	0		10	-	05	100	14	70	75
	g	20	25	8	/	10	э	25	100	11/11	70	75
	1		1	1		1	1	1			1	
		Library Skills			Research Paper			Total	Qualification	(Average)	Oualification	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)	
		LUSK			Gutific			Controllion	Method	Grade	1 in conoiu (70)	
	с	5	20	20	10	30	15	100	M1	70	70	
									Oualification	(Average)		
ENG 102	Program Outnute	Library Skills	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper	Research essay	Interviews	Total	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification	
1.1.0 102	- 10 grant Outputs	Task	- icuaciane Loody	- in resentation	Outline			Contribution	Mathod	Grade	Threshold (%)	
		-	20	20	10	20	15	100	Methou	70	70	
1	g	Б	20	20	10		15	100	MI	70	70	

									Our life of	(4		,,
Course Co 1-	Brogram Outrat	Brocontations	Written Project	Written Final	Internieru-	Internierus	Procentatio	Total	Qualification	(Average)	Qualification	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Presentations	Proposal	Report	Interviews	Interviews	Presentations	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)	
	-	15	20	35	5	5	20	100	M1	70	80	
		15	20	35	5	5	20	100	Qualification	(Average)	80	
ENG 401	Program Outputs	Presentations	Written Project	Written Final	Interviews	Interviews	Presentations	Total	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification	
			Proposal	Report				Contribution	Method	Grade	Threshold (%)	
	g	15	20	35	5	5	20	100	M1	70	80	
			T . 1	Qualification	(Average)	0.1141.11						
Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class	I otal Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification						
		participation	Contribution	Method	Grade	Threshold (%)						
	с	100	100	M1	12	80						
		In-class	Total	Qualification	(Average)	Qualification						
	Program Outputs	participation	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)						
		F		Method	Grade	(//)						
	d	100	100	M1	12	80						
07.400		In-class	Total	Qualification	(Average)	Qualification						
GE 100	Program Outputs	participation	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)						
		100	100	Method	Grade	80						
	g	100	100	Oualification	(Average)	80						
	Program Outputs	In-class	Total	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification						
	riogram o atputo	participation	Contribution	Method	Grade	Threshold (%)						
	h	100	100	M1	12	80						
	L			L		1	L					
		In alass	Total	Qualification	(Average)	Oralification						
Course Code	Program Outputs	narticipation	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)						
		participation	Contribution	Method	Grade	Theshold (70)						
	с	100	100	M1	70	70						
		In-class	Total	Qualification	(Average)	Qualification						
	Program Outputs	participation	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)						
CE 251		100	100	Method	Grade	70						
GE 251	g	100	100	MI	70 (Average)	20						
	Program Outpute	In-class	Total	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification						
	riogram Outputs	participation	Contribution	Method	Grade	Threshold (%)						
	h	100	100	M1	70	70	ŀ					
<u> </u>												
						T (1	Qualification	(Average)	0.110 11			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Final	Midterm	Project	In-class	Total	Calculation	Qualification	Qualification			
					participation	Contribution	Method	Grade	i iresnota (%)			
	d	25	30	30	15	100	M1	45	60			
					In-class	Total	Qualification	(Average)	Qualification			
GE 301	Program Outputs	Final	Midterm	Project	participation	Contribution	Calculation	Qualification	Threshold (%)			
					rpation		Method	Grade	(,,)	ļ		
	e	25	30	30	15	100	M1	45	60			

Course Code	Program Outputs	Final	Midterm	Project	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
GE 301	g	25	30	30	15	100	M1	45	60			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	с	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75				
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
HIST 200	e	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75				
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	g	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	с	30	30	10	30	100	M1	60	75			
HUM 111	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	g	30	30	10	30	100	M1	60	75			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Quizzes	In-class participation	Final:Essay/writte n	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	с	30	10	30	30	100	M1	60	75			
HUM 112	Program Outputs	Quizzes	In-class participation	Final:Essay/writte n	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	g	30	10	30	30	100	M1	60	75			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Quiz	Quiz	Quiz	Quiz	Final:Essay/writte n	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	а	25	10	10	10	10	35	100	M1	30	75	
IE 400	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Quiz	Quiz	Quiz	Quiz	Final:Essay/writte n	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	25	10	10	10	10	35	100	M1	30	75	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Final	Quiz	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		_
	а	25	25	30	10	10	100	M1	40	50		
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Final	Quiz	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
MATH 101	с	25	25	30	10	10	100	M1	40	50		
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Final	Quiz	Homework	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	e	25	25	30	10	10	100	M1	40	50		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	а	30	30	40	100	M1	40	50				
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
MATH 102	с	30	30	40	100	M1	40	50				
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	е	30	30	40	100	M1	40	50				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Midterm:Essay/w ritten	Midterm:Essay/w ritten	Final:Essay/writte n	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade
		2	2	2	2	2	30	30	30	100	M1	40
MATH 132	а	Qualification Threshold (%) 50										
	l		1									
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
MATH 225	а	50	50	100	M1	40	50					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Final:Essay/writte n	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
MATH 230	а	40	3,75	3,75	3,75	3,75	45	100	M1	30	75	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Quiz	Quiz	Quiz	Midterm	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
MBG 110	a	5	5	5	25	30	30	100	M1	50	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	а	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	b	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
PHYS 101	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	е	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	g	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
							-					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	a	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	b	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
PHYS 102	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	е	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Quiz	Homework	Final	Lab work	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	g	15	20	10	10	25	20	100	M1	50	50	
			1				r					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	с	70	30	100	M1	70	60					
TURK 101	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	g	70	30	100	M1	70	60					

Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
1	с	70	30	100	M1	70	60
TURK 102	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
	g	70	30	100	M1	70	60

Ölçümlerde Kullanılan Metotlarla İlgili Açıklamalar / Explanations About the Methods Used in Measurements

Bütün metotlar için sadece dersi geçen öğrencilerin notları kullanılacaktır. / For all methods, only the grades of students who pass the course will be used.

- G = Bölüm tarafından belirlenmiş olan başarılı sayılabilecek minimum not / G = Minimum grade that can be considered successful as determined by the department
- T = Program çıktısı başarısı için eşik değer / T = Threshold value for program output success
- M1: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az G olması / M1: T% of the students to have a semester total of at least G
- M2: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az bölümdeki dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması/ M2: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least that of the department average
- M3: Öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalamasının en az G olması / M3: Average semester total of students of the department to be at least G
- M4: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az tüm bölümlerdeki tüm öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması / M4: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least average semester total of all students from all departments

4.2.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN METOTLAR VE PERFORMANS SONUÇ DETAYLARI / *METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS AND PERFORMANCE RESULT DETAILS*

Program Çıktısı / Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi / <i>Method</i>	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam)/ Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
				CS	101 - Algoritma	lar ve Programla	ama I / CS 101 -	Algorithms and	Programming I				
d	M1	40	75	153	125	93.66	93.95	153	125	100.00	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
f	M1	40	75	153	125	95.43	96.05	153	125	100.00	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
				CS 1	02 - Algoritmal	ar ve Programla	ma II / CS 102 -	Algorithms and	Programming I	[-
а	M1	40	75	67	65	79.19	79.50	67	65	100.00	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
b	M1	40	75	67	65	77.39	77.71	67	65	100.00	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
f	M1	40	75	67	65	94.78	94.97	67	65	100.00	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
				CS 201 - Bi	lgisayar Bilimin	in Temelleri I/	CS 201 - Fundar	nental Structure	s of Computer S	cience I			
a	M1	50	75	131	125	73.77	74.21	107	103	81.68	82.40	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	82.40
f	M1	50	75	131	125	78.56	78.84	116	112	88.55	89.60	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	89.60
				CS 202 - Bil	gisayar Bilimini	in Temelleri II /	CS 202 - Fundar	nental Structure	s of Computer S	cience II			
a	M1	50	75	52	50	68.82	69.59	42	41	80.77	82.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	82.00
f	M1	50	75	52	50	84.56	84.34	51	49	98.08	98.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	98.00
					CS 223	- Sayısal Devre	Tasarımı / CS 2	23 - Digital Des	ign				
b	M1	50	75	130	129	74.98	74.94	122	121	93.85	93.80	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	93.80
					CS 224 -	Bilgisayar Yapı	isi / CS 224 - Co	nputer Organiza	ition				
f	M1	50	75	71	71	78.65	78.65	66	66	92.96	92.96	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	92.96
					CS	5 299 - Yaz Stajı	I / CS 299 - Sum	mer Training I					
a	M1	50	75	201	201	80.75	80.75	192	192	95.52	95.52	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	95.52
с	M1	50	75	201	201	80.75	80.75	192	192	95.52	95.52	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	95.52
					CS	5 299 - Yaz Stajı	I / CS 299 - Sum	mer Training I		-			-
d	M1	50	75	201	201	80.75	80.75	192	192	95.52	95.52	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	95.52
g	M1	50	75	201	201	80.75	80.75	192	192	95.52	95.52	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	95.52
					CS 315 - Pı	rogramlama Dil	leri / CS 315 - P	rogramming Lan	guages				
b	M1	50	75	152	152	81.67	81.67	148	148	97.37	97.37	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	97.37
e	M1	50	75	152	152	79.97	79.97	142	142	93.42	93.42	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	93.42
g	M1	50	75	152	152	89.67	89.67	148	148	97.37	97.37	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	97.37
				CS 319 - Ne	esneye Yönelik	Yazılım Mühen	disliği / CS 319	- Object-Oriente	d Software Eng	ineering			
а	M1	50	75	161	159	58.04	58.25	122	121	75.78	76.10	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	76.10
b	M1	50	75	161	159	64.43	64.62	144	143	89.44	89.94	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	89.94
c	M1	50	75	161	159	82.48	82.52	151	149	93.79	93.71	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	93.71
e	M1	50	75	161	159	82.48	82.52	151	149	93.79	93.71	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	93.71

4.2.2.1. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2023-2024 Academic Year Fall Semester;

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi / Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%)/ Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam)/ Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.)/ Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
					CS 342	2 - İşletim Sister	mleri / <i>CS</i> 342 - (Operating Syste	ms				
f	M1	50	75	54	50	71.91	71.96	48	44	88.89	88.00	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	88.00
		•	•	•	CS 353 -	Veri Tabanı Sis	stemleri / CS 353	- Database Sys	tems	•	•		
a	M1	50	75	69	64	57.64	58.75	39	39	56.52	60.94	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	60.94
b	M1	50	75	69	64	81.52	83.34	68	63	98.55	98.44	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	98.44
					CS	399 - Yaz Stajı 🛛	II / CS 399 - Sum	mer Training II					
a	M1	50	75	159	159	78.99	78.99	148	148	93.08	93.08	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	93.08
с	M1	50	75	159	159	78.99	78.99	148	148	93.08	93.08	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	93.08
d	M1	50	75	159	159	78.99	78.99	148	148	93.08	93.08	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	93.08
g	M1	50	75	159	159	78.99	78.99	148	148	93.08	93.08	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	93.08
		1	T	1	С	S 473 - Algoritn	nalar I / CS 473 -	Algorithms I	1	1	1		
a	M1	40	75	132	132	44.68	44.68	76	76	57.58	57.58	Iyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	57.58
b	M1	40	75	132	132	40.03	40.03	62	62	46.97	46.97	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	46.97
		-		CS 476 -	Otomata Teoris	i ve Formal Dill	er / CS 476 - Au	tomata Theory a	nd Formal Lang	uages			
a	M1	40	75	60	60	39.35	39.35	23	23	38.33	38.33	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	38.33
				EE	E 391 - Temel Si	inyaller ve Siste	emler / EEE 391 -	· Basics of Signa	als and Systems				
a	M1	40	80	135	132	60.77	61.00	119	118	88.15	89.39	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	89.39
g	M1	40	80	135	132	60.77	61.00	119	118	88.15	89.39	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	89.39
				E	NG 101 - İngiliz	zce ve Kompozi	syon I / ENG 10	1 - English and C	Composition I				
с	M1	70	75	1698	132	82.20	86.72	1560	131	91.87	99.24	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	99.24
g	M1	70	75	1698	132	82.20	86.72	1560	131	91.87	99.24	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	99.24
		1	1	El	NG 102 - Ingiliz	ce ve Kompozis	yon II / ENG 102	2 - English and C	Composition II	1	1		
с	M1	70	70	543	54	85.44	90.06	526	53	96.87	98.15	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	98.15
g	M1	70	70	543	54	85.44	90.06	526	53	96.87	98.15	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	98.15
	2.61	70	22	ENG 401 -	1 eknik Kapor Y	azma ve Sunum	1/ ENG 401 - Tec	ennical Report V	vriting and Prese	entation	100.00	N. P. L.C. G. S. S. L	100.00
c	MI	70	80	266	120	88.72	88.88	266	120	100.00	100.00	Yeterli V / Sufficient V	100.00
g	M1	70	80	266	120 CE 100	88.72	88.88	266 E 100 Onicuta	120	100.00	100.00	retern \vee / Sufficient \vee	100.00
	M1	12	80	1681	GE 100 130	- Oniversite Ha	08.85	1681	130	100.00	100.00	Votorli 1 / Sufficient	100.00
4	M1	12	80	1681	130	97.15	98.85	1681	130	100.00	100.00	Yeterli V / Sufficient V	100.00
σ	M1	12	80	1681	130	97.15	98.85	1681	130	100.00	100.00	Yeterli V / Sufficient V	100.00
5 h	M1	12	80	1681	130	97.15	98.85	1681	130	100.00	100.00	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100.00
h	M1	12	80	1681	130	97.15	98.85	1681	130	100.00	100.00	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100.00

Program Çıktısı / Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı /Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı /Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.)/ Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oram / Success Ratio
				GE	251 - Üniversite	Etkinlik Program	m1 II / GE 251 -	Collegiate Activ	vities Program II			•	
с	M1	70	70	838	83	93.01	93.31	776	76	92.60	91.57	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	91.57
g	M1	70	70	838	83	93.01	93.31	776	76	92.60	91.57	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	91.57
h	M1	70	70	838	83	93.01	93.31	776	76	92.60	91.57	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	91.57
				G	E 301 - Bilim, T	eknoloji ve Top	um / GE 301 - S	cience Technolog	gy and Society				-
d	M1	45	60	366	78	82.99	84.02	366	78	100.00	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
e	M1	45	60	366	78	82.99	84.02	366	78	100.00	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
g	M1	45	60	366	78	82.99	84.02	366	78	100.00	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
		-	1	n	HIST 2	200 - Türkiye Ta	rihi / HIST 200	- History of Turl	key				
с	M1	70	75	1055	103	93.35	96.26	1044	103	98.96	100.00	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	100.00
e	M1	70	75	1055	103	93.35	96.26	1044	103	98.96	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
g	M1	70	75	1055	103	93.35	96.26	1044	103	98.96	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
		-	1	HUM 111 - 1	Kültürler, Mede	niyetler ve Düş	ünceler I / HUM	1111 - Cultures	Civilizations an	d Ideas I			
c	M1	60	75	1110	142	83.62	86.62	1099	140	99.01	98.59	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	98.59
g	M1	60	75	1110	142	83.62	86.62	1099	140	99.01	98.59	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	98.59
			1	HUM 112 - K	ültürler, Meder	niyetler ve Düşü	inceler II / HUM	1112 - Cultures	Civilizations an	d Ideas II			
с	M1	60	75	238	42	83.67	85.85	237	42	99.58	100.00	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	100.00
g	M1	60	75	238	42	83.67	85.85	237	42	99.58	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
			1	IE 400 -	Mühendislik Y	önetiminin İlke	leri / IE 400 - Pı	inciples of Engin	eering Managen	ient			
a	M1	30	75	135	122	63.22	63.61	135	122	100.00	100.00	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	100.00
e	M1	30	75	135	122	63.22	63.61	135	122	100.00	100.00	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	100.00
			1	1	MA	TH 101 - Mater	natik I / MATH	101 - Calculus I	1				
a	M1	40	50	741	137	65.46	74.06	686	135	92.58	98.54	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	98.54
c	M1	40	50	741	137	65.46	74.06	686	135	92.58	98.54	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	98.54
e	M1	40	50	741	137	65.46	74.06	686	135	92.58	98.54	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	98.54
					MA	TH 102 - Matem	atik II / MATH	102 - Calculus II	t				
a	M1	40	50	215	60	54.07	63.09	156	50	72.56	83.33	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	83.33
c	M1	40	50	215	60	54.07	63.09	156	50	72.56	83.33	Yeterli $$ / Sufficient $$	83.33
e	M1	40	50	215	60	54.07	63.09	156	50	72.56	83.33	Yeterli \vee / Sufficient \vee	83.33
				MATH 132 - So	onlu ve Kombin	asyonal Matema	tik / MATH 132	- Discrete and (Combinatorial M	athematics			
a	M1	40	50	178	67	57.34	63.68	150	59	84.27	88.06	Yeterli \vee / Sufficient \vee	88.06
			M	IATH 225 - Doğ	rusal Cebir ve T	ürevsel Denkle	mler / MATH 2	25 - Linear Algel	bra and Different	rial Equations			
a	M1	40	50	206	71	45.79	48.51	118	42	57.28	59.15	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	59.15

Program Çıktısı / Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi / <i>Method</i>	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı /Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam)/ Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
			-	MATH 230 - M	ühendisler İçin	Olasılık ve İsta	tistik / MATH 2	30 - Probability	and Statistics f	or Engineers			•
a	M1	30	75	246	156	58.33	59.08	237	149	96.34	95.51	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	95.51
				MI	BG 110 - Moder	n Biyolojiye Gir	iş/MBG 110 - l	ntroduction to N	1odern Biology				•
a	M1	50	50	450	132	64.65	75.25	345	127	76.67	96.21	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	96.21
					PHYS	101 - Genel Fiz	ik I / PHYS 101	- General Physic	s I				
a	M1	50	50	663	136	68.54	74.37	598	130	90.20	95.59	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	95.59
b	M1	50	50	663	136	68.54	74.37	598	130	90.20	95.59	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	95.59
e	M1	50	50	663	136	68.54	74.37	598	130	90.20	95.59	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	95.59
g	M1	50	50	663	136	68.54	74.37	598	130	90.20	95.59	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	95.59
					PHYS 1	02 - Genel Fizil	k II / PHYS 102	- General Physic	s II				
a	M1	50	50	135	19	63.16	65.86	107	17	79.26	89.47	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	89.47
b	M1	50	50	135	19	63.16	65.86	107	17	79.26	89.47	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	89.47
e	M1	50	50	135	19	63.16	65.86	107	17	79.26	89.47	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	89.47
g	M1	50	50	135	19	63.16	65.86	107	17	79.26	89.47	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	89.47
						ГURK 101 - Tür	kçe I / TURK 10	1 - Turkish I					
с	M1	70	60	1516	114	87.68	91.09	1493	113	98.48	99.12	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	99.12
g	M1	70	60	1516	114	87.68	91.09	1493	113	98.48	99.12	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	99.12
					Т	URK 102 - Türk	cçe II / TURK 10	2 - Turkish II					
с	M1	70	60	492	53	90.84	93.73	487	53	98.98	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00
g	M1	70	60	492	53	90.84	93.73	487	53	98.98	100.00	Yeterli \checkmark / Sufficient \checkmark	100.00

4.2.2.2. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2023-2024 Academic Year Spring Semester;

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi / <i>Method</i>	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam)/ Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.)/ Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci)/ Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oram (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oram/ Success Ratio
				CS 1	01 - Algoritmal	ar ve Programla	1 ma I / CS 101 -	Algorithms and I	Programming I				
d	M1	40	75	74	72	85.34	85.21	74	72	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
f	M1	40	75	74	72	95.89	96.19	74	72	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
			·	CS 10	2 - Algoritmala	r ve Programlaı	ma II / CS 102 -	Algorithms and I	Programming II				
а	M1	40	75	142	125	76.16	76.47	142	125	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
b	M1	40	75	142	125	73.64	73.91	142	125	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
f	M1	40	75	142	125	97.43	98	142	125	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
				CS 201 - Bil	gisayar Bilimin	in Temelleri / C	CS 201 - Fundan	iental Structures	of Computer Scie	nce I			
а	M1	50	75	76	74	74.49	74.51	66	64	86.84	86.49	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	86.49
f	M1	50	75	76	74	66.2	66.22	59	57	77.63	77.03	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	77.03
				CS 202 - Bilgi	sayar Biliminir	Temelleri II / (CS 202 - Fundar	nental Structures	of Computer Scie	ence II			
а	M1	50	75	98	98	76.84	76.84	89	89	90.82	90.82	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	90.82
f	M1	50	75	98	98	92.98	92.98	96	96	97.96	97.96	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	97.96
					CS 223	- Sayısal Devre	Tasarımı / CS 2	23 - Digital Desig	m				
b	M1	50	75	63	63	71.62	71.62	58	58	92.06	92.06	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	92.06
					CS 224 - 1	Bilgisayar Yapıs	51 / CS 224 - Con	ıputer Organizat	ion				
f	M1	50	75	117	117	86.84	86.84	110	110	94.02	94.02	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	94.02

Program Çıktısı / Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi / <i>Method</i>	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam)/ Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci)/ Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans/ Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı/ Success Ratio
					CS 315 - Pro	ogramlama Dill	leri / CS 315 - Pa	rogramming Lang	nages				
b	M1	50	75	47	47	82.8	82.8	44	44	93.62	93.62	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	93.62
e	M1	50	75	47	47	80.19	80.19	44	44	93.62	93.62	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	93.62
g	M1	50	75	47	47	89.23	89.23	44	44	93.62	93.62	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	93.62
			•	CS 319 - Ne	sneye Yönelik Y	azılım Mühend	lisliği / CS 319 -	Object-Oriented	Software Enginee	ering			
а	M1	50	75	46	46	66.5	66.5	43	43	93.48	93.48	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	93.48
b	M1	50	75	46	46	62.41	62.41	33	33	71.74	71.74	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	71.74
c	M1	50	75	46	46	81.09	81.09	46	46	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
e	M1	50	75	46	46	81.09	81.09	46	46	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
					CS 342	- İşletim Sisten	nleri / CS 342 - (Operating System	IS				
f	M1	50	75	150	149	79.32	79.35	146	145	97.33	97.32	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	97.32
	-				CS 353 - 7	Veri Tabanı Sis	temleri / CS 353	- Database Syste	ems				
а	M1	50	75	125	125	67.06	67.06	109	109	87.2	87.2	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	87.2
b	M1	50	75	125	125	87.02	87.02	124	124	99.2	99.2	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	99.2
					CS	S 473 - Algoritm	alar I / CS 473 -	Algorithms I					
а	M1	40	75	152	152	42.45	42.45	72	72	47.37	47.37	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	47.37
b	M1	40	75	152	152	38.75	38.75	65	65	42.76	42.76	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	42.76

Program Çıktısı / Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi / <i>Method</i>	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam)/ Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.)/ Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci)/ Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oram (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oram/ Success Ratio
				CS 476 - O	tomata Teorisi	ve Formal Dille	er / CS 476 - Aut	omata Theory an	ıd Formal Langua	ges	•		
a	M1	40	75	119	119	54.6	54.6	86	86	72.27	72.27	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	72.27
	•	•	•	EE	E 391 - Temel Si	nyaller ve Siste	mler / EEE 391 -	Basics of Signals	s and Systems	•			•
а	M1	40	80	34	26	64.83	61	32	24	94.12	92.31	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	92.31
g	M1	40	80	34	26	64.83	61	32	24	94.12	92.31	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	92.31
	•	•	•	E	NG 101 - İngiliz	ce ve Kompozis	yon I / ENG 101	- English and Co	omposition I	•			•
с	M1	70	75	740	49	81.27	86.48	662	49	89.46	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
g	M1	70	75	740	49	81.27	86.48	662	49	89.46	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
				EN	G 102 - İngilizc	e ve Kompozisy	on II / ENG 102	- English and Co	omposition II				
с	M1	70	70	1495	142	84.92	88.89	1428	139	95.52	97.89	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	97.89
g	M1	70	70	1495	142	84.92	88.89	1428	139	95.52	97.89	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	97.89
	•	I	•	ENG 401 - T	eknik Rapor Ya	zma ve Sunum	/ ENG 401 - Tech	inical Report Wr	iting and Presente	ation			
с	M1	70	80	312	76	88.8	88.05	309	75	99.04	98.68	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	98.68
g	M1	70	80	312	76	88.8	88.05	309	75	99.04	98.68	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	98.68
	•			•	GE 100	- Üniversite Ha	yatına Giriş/ G	E 100 - Orientatio	on	•	-		•
c	M1	12	80	587	48	96.22	99.06	587	48	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
d	M1	12	80	587	48	96.22	99.06	587	48	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
g	M1	12	80	587	48	96.22	99.06	587	48	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
h	M1	12	80	587	48	96.22	99.06	587	48	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100

Program Çıktısı / Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi / <i>Method</i>	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam)/ Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.)/ Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci)/ Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oram / Success Ratio
				GE 2	51 - Üniversite I	Etkinlik Progra	m1 II / GE 251 - (Collegiate Activit	ties Program II	•			•
c	M1	70	70	1375	120	93.49	96.08	1287	116	93.6	96.67	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	96.67
g	M1	70	70	1375	120	93.49	96.08	1287	116	93.6	96.67	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	96.67
h	M1	70	70	1375	120	93.49	96.08	1287	116	93.6	96.67	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	96.67
				GE	301 - Bilim, Te	knoloji ve Topl	um / GE 301 - S	cience Technology	and Society				
d	M1	45	60	284	134	82.54	85.04	284	134	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
e	M1	45	60	284	134	82.54	85.04	284	134	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
g	M1	45	60	284	134	82.54	85.04	284	134	100	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
					HIST 2	00 - Türkiye Ta	rihi / HIST 200 -	History of Turke	ry				
c	M1	70	75	968	59	92.06	94.69	931	58	96.18	98.31	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	98.31
e	M1	70	75	968	59	92.06	94.69	931	58	96.18	98.31	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	98.31
g	M1	70	75	968	59	92.06	94.69	931	58	96.18	98.31	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	98.31
				HUM 111 - K	ültürler, Meder	niyetler ve Düşi	inceler I / HUM	111 - Cultures Ci	vilizations and I	deas I			
c	M1	60	75	465	42	80.91	86.69	457	42	98.28	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
g	M1	60	75	465	42	80.91	86.69	457	42	98.28	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
				HUM 112 - Ki	ültürler, Medeni	iyetler ve Düşü	nceler II / HUM	112 - Cultures Ci	vilizations and I	deas II			
с	M1	60	75	937	140	85.62	88.58	930	140	99.25	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
g	M1	60	75	937	140	85.62	88.58	930	140	99.25	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
				IE 400 - 1	Mühendislik Yö	netiminin İlkel	eri / IE 400 - Pr	inciples of Engine	ering Managemen	t			
a	M1	30	75	95	66	58.23	61.64	91	63	95.79	95.45	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	95.45
e	M1	30	75	95	66	58.23	61.64	91	63	95.79	95.45	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	95.45

Program Çıktısı / Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi / <i>Method</i>	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%)/ Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam)/ Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.)/ Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oram (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı/ Success Ratio
					MA	ΓΗ 102 - Matem	atik II / MATH	102 - Calculus II					
a	M1	40	50	694	148	55.51	62.65	518	122	74.64	82.43	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	82.43
c	M1	40	50	694	148	55.51	62.65	518	122	74.64	82.43	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	82.43
e	M1	40	50	694	148	55.51	62.65	518	122	74.64	82.43	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	82.43
]	MATH 132 - Soi	ılu ve Kombina	syonal Matema	tik / MATH 132	- Discrete and Co	mbinatorial Mat	hematics			
a	M1	40	50	285	125	58.23	64.63	253	118	88.77	94.4	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	94.4
			M	ATH 225 - Doğr	usal Cebir ve T	ürevsel Denklei	nler / MATH 22	5 - Linear Algebra	a and Differential	Equations			
a	M1	40	50	209	132	47.58	50.71	128	88	61.24	66.67	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	66.67
				MATH 230 - Mü	hendisler İçin (Olasılık ve İstat	istik / MATH 23	0 - Probability an	id Statistics for E	ngineers			
a	M1	30	75	82	56	53.56	54.94	79	54	96.34	96.43	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	96.43
				MB	G 110 - Modern	Biyolojiye Giri	iş / MBG 110 - Iı	troduction to M	odern Biology				
a	M1	50	50	446	52	70.85	83.01	410	52	91.93	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
				•	PHYS	101 - Genel Fizi	k I / PHYS 101 -	General Physics	I	•			
a	M1	50	50	274	48	65.93	70.62	223	40	81.39	83.33	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	83.33
b	M1	50	50	274	48	65.93	70.62	223	40	81.39	83.33	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	83.33
e	M1	50	50	274	48	65.93	70.62	223	40	81.39	83.33	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	83.33
g	M1	50	50	274	48	65.93	70.62	223	40	81.39	83.33	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	83.33
				-	PHYS 1	02 - Genel Fizik	LII / PHYS 102 -	General Physics	11				
а	M1	50	50	647	142	64.72	66.77	549	121	84.85	85.21	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	85.21
b	M1	50	50	647	142	64.72	66.77	549	121	84.85	85.21	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	85.21
e	M1	50	50	647	142	64.72	66.77	549	121	84.85	85.21	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	85.21
g	M1	50	50	647	142	64.72	66.77	549	121	84.85	85.21	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	85.21

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi / <i>Method</i>	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%)/ Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam)/ Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.)/ Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci)/ Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci)/ Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans/ Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı/ Success Ratio
]	TURK 101 - Tür	kçe I / TÜRK 10	1 - Turkish I					
c	M1	70	60	612	52	86.39	88.98	605	52	98.86	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
g	M1	70	60	612	52	86.39	88.98	605	52	98.86	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
					Т	URK 102 - Türk	cce II / TURK 10	2 - Turkish II					
c	M1	70	60	1438	126	88.83	91.93	1425	126	99.1	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100
g	M1	70	60	1438	126	88.83	91.93	1425	126	99.1	100	Yeterli √ / Sufficient √	100

4.3. **PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARI / PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RESULTS**

4.3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS TABLOSU / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE TABLE

4.3.1.1. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2023-2024 Academic Year Fall Semester;

Dersler /		Pro	gram Çı	ktıları/	Prograi	n Outco	mes		Dersler /		Pro	gram Çı	ktıları/	Program	n Outco	mes	
Courses	a	b	с	d	e	f	g	h	Courses	a	b	с	d	e	f	g	h
CS 101				\checkmark		\checkmark			GE 100			\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark
CS 102	 ✓ 	\checkmark				\checkmark			GE 251			\checkmark				\checkmark	√
CS 201	 ✓ 					\checkmark			GE 301				\checkmark	✓		\checkmark	
CS 202	 ✓ 					 ✓ 			HIST 200			\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	
CS 223		\checkmark							HUM 111			\checkmark				\checkmark	
CS 224						\checkmark			HUM 112			\checkmark				\checkmark	
CS 299	 ✓ 		\checkmark	 ✓ 			\checkmark		IE 400	\checkmark				\checkmark			
CS 315		\checkmark			 ✓ 		\checkmark		MATH 101	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark			
CS 319	 ✓ 	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark				MATH 102	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark			
CS 342						✓			MATH 132	\checkmark							
CS 353	X	 ✓ 							MATH 225	\checkmark							
CS 399	√		\checkmark	√			\checkmark		MATH 230	\checkmark							
CS 473	X	X							MBG 110	\checkmark							
CS 476	X								PHYS 101	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	
EEE 391	 ✓ 						✓		PHYS 102	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	
ENG 101			\checkmark				\checkmark		TURK 101			\checkmark				\checkmark	
ENG 102			\checkmark				\checkmark		TURK 102			\checkmark				\checkmark	
ENG 401			√				\checkmark				•	·		•	•	·	

 Tablo.4.3.1.1. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Tablosu /

 Table.4.3.3.1. 2023-2024 Academic Year Fall Semester Computer Engineering Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Table

Dersler / Courses			Program (Çı <mark>ktıları</mark> /	Program	Outcomes				Dersler /			Program	Çıktıları/	Program	Outcomes	;	
Courses	а	b	с	d	е	f	g	h		Courses	a	b	с	d	е	f	g	h
CS 101				~		~			-	GE 251			~				~	~
CS 102	~	~				~				GE 301				~	~		~	
CS 201	~					~				HIST 200			~		~		~	
CS 202	~					~				HUM 111			~				~	
CS 223		~								HUM 112			~				~	
CS 224						~				IE 400	~				~			
CS 315		~			~		~			MATH 101	~		~		~			
CS 319	~	X	~		~				-	MATH 102	~		~		~			
CS 342						~				MATH 132	~							
CS 353	~	~								MATH 225	~							
CS 473	X	X								MATH 230	~							
CS 476	X									MBG 110	~							
EEE 391	~						~			PHYS 101	~	~			 Image: A second s		~	
ENG 101			~				~			PHYS 102	~	~			~		~	
ENG 102			~				~			TURK 101			~				~	
ENG 401			~				~			TURK 102			~				~	
GE 100			~	~			~	~										

4.3.1.2. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2023-2024 Academic Year Spring Semester;

Tablo.4.3.1.1. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Tablosu /*Table.4.3.3.1.* 2023-2024 Academic Year Spring Semester Computer Engineering Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Table

4.3.2. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS ORANLARI / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE RATES

4.3.2.1. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2023-2024 Academic Year Fall Semester;

Dersler /	Program Çıktıları / Program OutcomesCoursesabcdefgh				Dersler /		Pro	gram Çı	ktıları/	Progran	u Outcon	nes					
Courses	a	b	с	d	e	f	g	h	Courses	a	b	с	d	е	f	g	h
CS 101				100.00		100.00			GE 100			100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00
CS 102	100.00	100.00				100.00			GE 251			91.57				91.57	91.57
CS 201	82.40					89.60			GE 301				100.00	100.00		100.00	
CS 202	82.00					98.00			HIST 200			100.00		100.00		100.00	
CS 223		93.80							HUM 111			98.59				98.59	
CS 224						92.96			HUM 112			100.00				100.00	
CS 299	95.52		95.52	95.52			95.52		IE 400	100.00				100.00			
CS 315		97.37			93.42		97.37		MATH 101	98.54		98.54		98.54			
CS 319	76.10	89.94	93.71		93.71				MATH 102	83.33		83.33		83.33			
CS 342						88.00			MATH 132	88.06							
CS 353	60.94	98.44							MATH 225	59.15							
CS 399	93.08		93.08	93.08			93.08		MATH 230	95.51							
CS 473	57.58	46.97							MBG 110	96.21							
CS 476	38.33								PHYS 101	95.59	95.59			95.59		95.59	
EEE 391	89.39						89.39		PHYS 102	89.47	89.47			89.47		89.47	
ENG 101			99.24				99.24		TURK 101			99.12				99.12	
ENG 102			98.15				98.15		TURK 102			100.00				100.00	
ENG 401			100.00				100.00										

 Tablo.4.3.2.1. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Oranları Tablosu /

 Table.4.3.2.1. 2023-2024 Academic Year Fall Semester Computer Engineering Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Rates Table

Dersler / Courses		Pro	gram Çı	ktıları/	Progran	n Outcor	mes		Dersler /	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes							
Courses	а	b	с	d	е	f	g	h	Courses	a	b	с	d	е	f	g	h
CS 101				100		100			GE 251			96.67				96.67	96.67
CS 102	100	100				100			GE 301				100	100		100	
CS 201	86.49					77.03			HIST 200			98.31		98.31		98.31	
CS 202	90.82					97.96			HUM 111			100				100	
CS 223		92.06							HUM 112			100				100	
CS 224						94.02			IE 400	95.45				95.45			
CS 315		93.62			93.62		93.62		MATH 101	94.12		94.12		94.12			
CS 319	93.48	71.74	100		100				MATH 102	82.43		82.43		82.43			
CS 342						97.32			MATH 132	94.4							
CS 353	87.2	99.2							MATH 225	66.67							
CS 473	47.37	42.76							MATH 230	96.43							
CS 476	72.27								MBG 110	100							
EEE 391	92.31						92.31		PHYS 101	83.33	83.33			83.33		83.33	
ENG 101			100				100		PHYS 102	85.21	85.21			85.21		85.21	
ENG 102			97.89				97.89		TURK 101			100				100	
ENG 401			98.68				98.68		TURK 102			100				100	
GE 100			100	100			100	100									

4.3.2.2. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2023-2024 Academic Year Spring Semester;

Tablo.4.3.2.2. 2023-2024 Akademik Yılı BaharDönemi Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Oranları Tablosu/ Table.4.3.2.2. 2023-2024 Academic Year Spring Semester Computer Engineering Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Rates Table

5. DEĞERLENDİRME / EVALUATION

5.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The Program Outcomes of the Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering program are as follows:

- a) An ability to identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics.
- b) An ability to apply engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with consideration of public health, safety and welfare, as well as global, cultural, social, environmental, and economic factors.
- c) An ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences.
- d) An ability to recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which must consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts.
- e) An ability to function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives.
- f) An ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgement to draw conclusions.
- g) An ability to acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies.
- h) Take advantage of the campus life where students are engaged in diversity, creativity and commitment outside coursework through artistic, cultural, sportive and intellectual activities.

To ensure continuous improvement of the program, the Program Outcomes are regularly assessed and evaluated. Each Program Outcome is associated with a set of courses chosen for its assessment, which are selected from the required courses in the curriculum.

In the assessment of Program Outcomes through coursework, data are collected from all the students enrolled in the course. However, only the students who received a passing grade are included in the analysis; therefore, data from the students who received any of the grades W, F, FX, FZ, or U are excluded. The metric used for evaluating Program Outcomes is defined as follows:

• M1: T% of students have a grade of G or above, where G is the lowest grade at which a student is deemed successful, and T is the threshold value for the percentage of the successful students. The current faculty-wise standard for this percentage, i.e. T, is 75%. The value of G is determined specifically for each course based on the minimum expected level of success for the course's contribution to the relevant Program Outcome.

Below is the evaluation of the Program Outcomes, demonstrating the extent to which these outcomes are achieved through the required courses in the curriculum of the program.

• Program Outcome (a)

The program has 17 required courses in the curriculum that directly measure the ability of students in identifying, formulating and solving a complex engineering problem. These courses are CS 102, CS 201, CS 202, CS 319, CS 319, CS 353, CS 473, CS 476, EEE 391, IE 400, MATH 101, MATH 102, MATH 132, MATH 225, MATH 230, MBG 110, PHYS 101, and PHYS 102.

Considering the performance of students in these courses throughout the past academic year, the level of success in all courses, except CS 473 and CS 476, is within the acceptable range. Therefore, no actions are taken for those courses. For the courses CS 473 and CS 476, student performance will be monitored in the upcoming academic periods, and if the situation does not improve, necessary actions will be taken.

• Program Outcome (b)

There are eight courses in the curriculum that measures the ability of students in applying engineering design process to produce solutions that meet specified needs. These courses are CS 102, CS 223, CS 315, CS 319, CS 353, CS 473, PHYS 101, and PHYS 102.

Since the level of success in courses CS 102, CS 223, CS 315, CS 353, PHYS 101, and PHYS 102 is within the acceptable range, no actions are taken. For the courses CS 319 and CS 473, the success ratio is below the expected ratio. Therefore, student performance will be monitored in the upcoming academic periods, and if the situation does not improve, necessary actions will be taken for those courses.

• Program Outcome (c)

The curriculum includes 13 courses that directly assess students' ability in effective communication. These courses are CS 319, ENG 101, ENG 102, ENG 401, GE 100, GE 251, HIST 200, HUM 111, HUM 112, MATH 101, MATH 102, TURK 101, and TURK 102. Since the level of success in these courses is within the acceptable range, no actions are taken.

• Program Outcome (d)

The curriculum includes three courses that directly assess students' ability to recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments considering the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts. These courses are CS 101, GE 100, and GE 301. The level of success in these courses is within the acceptable range; therefore, no actions are taken.

• Program Outcome (e)

There are nine courses in the curriculum that measures students' ability to function effectively on a team. These courses are CS 315, CS 319, GE 301, HIST 200, IE 400, MATH 101, MATH 102, PHYS 101, and PHYS 102. Since the level of success in these courses is within the acceptable range, no actions are taken.

• Program Outcome (f)

The program includes six CS courses in the curriculum that directly assess students' ability to develop and conduct experiments, analyze and interpret the resulting data, and use

engineering judgment in drawing conclusions. These courses are CS 101, CS 102, CS 201, CS 202, CS 224, and CS 342. The level of success in these courses is within the acceptable range; therefore, no actions are taken.

• Program Outcome (g)

The curriculum has 15 courses that measure the ability of students to acquire new knowledge using appropriate learning strategies. These courses are CS 315, EEE 391, ENG 101, ENG 102, ENG 401, GE 100, GE 251, GE 301, HIST 200, HUM 111, HUM 112, PHYS 101, PHYS 102, TURK 101, and TURK 102. The level of success in these courses is within the acceptable range; therefore, no actions are taken.

• Program Outcome (h)

Only two courses in the curriculum assess students' ability to achieve this university-wide Program Outcome, which aims to engage students in diversity, creativity, and commitment through artistic, cultural, sports, and intellectual activities outside of coursework. The courses GE 100 and GE 251 used for this assessment have shown satisfactory results in student performance. Therefore, no further action is necessary for these courses.

As a supplement to assessment and evaluation based on coursework, another form of assessment used is the data collected from the Graduation Survey, which is conducted at the end of each academic year. The Graduation Survey is conducted online by sending the survey link to all students who are expected to graduate in the same calendar year. One section of the survey is dedicated to indirect assessment of the Program Outcomes, comprising a total of 10 questions.

TableA.1 in the Appendix A presents the assessment results from this section of the Graduation Survey administered to students at the end of the 2022-2023 academic year. A Likert-type scale was utilized to gauge students' agreement regarding the attainment of Program Outcomes. All questions were rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 indicating the highest agreement. The expected level of attainment for a Program Outcome is a minimum of 3. The mean scores for all questions related to Program Outcomes (a) through (g) exceeded 4.00 out of 5 in last year's assessment. Consequently, no recommendations for improvement are warranted. The mean score of the question addressing Program Outcome (h), which is the university-wide outcome to take advantage of the campus life is 3.57. The reason for the lower score in 2023 is attributed to the earthquake in Turkey, which prevented students from using the social, sports, and cultural facilities on campus and participating in related activities.

5.2. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES

The Educational Objectives of the Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering program are as follows:

Bilkent University Computer Engineering Department graduates;

1) Establish successful careers in computer engineering and science, demonstrating strong technical competence and proficiency while adapting to technology changes;

- 2) Successfully complete advanced studies and research in graduate programs to become recognized and influential experts in their fields;
- 3) Develop innovative solutions in computer engineering practice and research using creativity and analytical thinking;
- 4) Are model professionals, exhibiting strong soft skills including collaboration, leadership, initiative, and integrity.

The Educational Objectives are reviewed through the External Advisory Board Meetings and the Graduation Survey.

The External Advisory Board (EAB) comprises both employers and alumni. Board members meet annually or biennially. Most of the members of the EAB are replaced by new members for consecutive meetings to ensure broad representation of both the employers and the alumni. During at least two of every three consecutive EAB meetings, the agenda includes a review of Educational Objectives. Employers and alumni are solicited for their opinions on the expected competencies of graduates from the Computer Engineering Department at Bilkent University. The last EAB meeting was held on November 6, 2023 to discuss and review the Educational Objectives. The following EAB members were present at the meeting:

- Mehmet Yüksel (Havelsan),
- Nurettin Mert Aydın (Udem),
- Yücel Saygın (Sabancı University),
- Baykal Mehmet Uçar (Arçelik),
- Murat Ergun (Commencis),
- Eren Akbaba (Amazon Web Services),
- Özer Aydemir (IOTIQ Yazılım),
- Çağrı Kılıboz (Milsoft).

The meeting log is provided in Appendix B. As detailed in the log, feedback from EAB members indicated that the Educational Objectives of the program adequately addressed the needs of employers and alumni. All EAB members found the current version of the program educational objectives to be suitable, well-stated, and satisfactory. They recommended retaining them in their current form. Based on this feedback, no changes to the Educational Objectives were deemed necessary.

Apart from the EAB meetings, another method of reviewing the Educational Objectives is through the Graduation Survey (see Appendix.A). To gather students' opinions, the list of candidate characteristics formulated by employers, alumni, and faculty members is presented to graduating students in the final section of the graduation survey, specifically focusing on the Educational Objectives of the program.

The students were asked the following question:

"What would you like to achieve in your career in three to five years after graduation?"

Students were provided with a list in Table.A.2 of Appendix A and were asked to indicate their preferences. The table displays the scores assigned to each characteristic by graduating students. These scores indicate that students agree on the importance of all these characteristics.

Appendix A. Graduation Survey Results TableA.1. Graduation Survey Results on Program Outcomes

	Mean
(a) Identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics.	4.30
(b) Apply engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with consideration of public health, safety, and welfare, as well as global, cultural, social, environmental, and economic factors.	4.15
(c) Communicate effectively with a range of audiences.	
Communicate effectively in writing with a range of audiences.	4.62
Perform effective oral communication with a range of audiences	4.38
(d) Ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which must consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts.	4.13
(e) Function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives.	
• Function on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment.	4.09
• Establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives individually and also in teams.	4.45
(f) Develop and conduct experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgment to draw conclusions	4.30
(g) Acquire and apply new knowledge if needed, using appropriate learning strategies.	4.57
(h) Take advantage of the campus life through artistic, cultural, sportive and intellectual activities.	3.57

Characteristic	Response (%)	Response Count
Establish successful careers in computer engineering and science, and demonstrating strong technical competence and proficiency while adapting to technology changes	80.40	41
Successfully complete advanced studies and research in graduate programs	37.30	19
Able to develop innovative solutions in computer engineering practice and research using creativity and analytical thinking, and become a recognized and influential expert in your field	49.00	25
Be a role model as a professional, exhibit strong soft skills including collaboration, leadership, initiative, and integrity	52.90	27

TableA.2. Graduation Survey Results on Educational Objectives

APPENDIX B. External Advisory Board Meeting Log

DATE November 6, 2023

PLACE Faculty of Engineering, Room: EA 409

PARTICIPANTS EAB Board Members:

Mehmet Yüksel (Havelsan, AE¹), Nurettin Mert Aydın (Udemy, AE), Yücel Saygın (Sabancı University, A), Baykal Mehmet Uçar (Arçelik, E), Murat Ergun (Commencis, E), Eren Akbaba (Amazon Web Services, AE), Özer Aydemir (IOTIQ Yazılım, AE), Çağrı Kılıboz (Milsoft, AE)

Department Members:

Prof. Dr. Selim Aksoy (Chairman), Prof. Dr. H. Altay Güvenir, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Can Alkan, Prof. Dr. Fazlı Can, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ercüment Çiçek, Asst. Prof. Dr. Sinem Sav, Prof. Dr. Uğur Doğrusöz, Prof. Dr. Uğur Güdükbay, Asst. Prof. Dr. Özgür Öğüz, Asst. Prof. Dr. Eray Tüzün, Prof. Dr. İbrahim Körpeoğlu, Asst. Prof. Dr. Anıl Koyuncu, Prof. Dr. Özgür Ulusoy

AGENDA

1) Review of the Program Educational Objectives of the undergraduate program at the Department of Computer Engineering

¹ A: Alumnus, E: Employer, AE: Alumnus and Employer

2) Employers' expectations from new employees and the implications of these expectations on our curriculum and education

3) Feedback about Bilkent CS graduates, possibly in comparison with others (strong and weak points)

MEETING LOG

Meeting started at 13:30.

The Chairman of the Computer Engineering Department, Prof. Dr. Selim Aksoy, gave a brief introduction on the agenda of the meeting. He gave a short presentation about the purpose of the meeting. He gave information about the function of External Advisory Board and some information and statistics about the Computer Engineering Department curriculum at Bilkent University.

The board members were asked to introduce themselves, including information about their current position at the company they are affiliated with. Prof. Aksoy also informed the board members about the questions that are expected to be answered. Multiple rounds were made to collect additional comments from all board members. Below, for each member, aggregated comments for all rounds are given.

YÜCEL SAYGIN (Sabancı University)

Yücel Saygın serves as a faculty member in the Department of Computer Engineering at Sabancı University. He graduated from Bilkent University's Department of Computer Engineering in 1994 and obtained his Master's degree from the same university in 1996, followed by a Ph.D. in 2001. Since 2001, he has been working as a faculty member at Sabancı University and currently holds the title of professor, continuing his academic career.

Regarding self-confidence, he stated, "Bilkent graduates are good in terms of work systems; they are systematic. Students come well-equipped in terms of curriculum. Bilkent graduates excel in theoretical knowledge."

On entrepreneurship, he mentioned, "Students establish start-ups. According to Sabancı, Bilkent's curriculum is more rigorous, and elective courses are focused on computer engineering. At Sabancı University, students can easily pursue a double major by taking elective courses from different departments, which broadens their perspectives. I think this is a good practice."

He expressed that the idea of employees going abroad is seen as a result of a general globalization trend.

He also noted that taking too many technical courses at Bilkent is challenging due to the perceived difficulty of technical classes.

BAYKAL MEHMET UÇAR (Arçelik Co.)

Mehmet Uçar, who has been working at Arçelik for 16 years and currently holds the position of Head of Software Engineer. He graduated from Boğaziçi University's Computer Engineering Department in 2007. He mentioned his experiences with Bilkent University students through CS FAIR and collaborative projects.

With 4-5 years of experience in student development programs, he observes that new graduates are more focused and specific in their roles and responsibilities compared to the past. He notes that with the diversity of technology, there is an increased need for end-to-end visibility in the job. Emphasizing the importance of developing the ability to clarify questions like 'Why are we doing this job? Why does this role exist? Why is this project being undertaken?' at the core of operational excellence.

He expresses the continuous effort to provide feedback and suggests that holding meetings more frequently and transitioning to an interdisciplinary approach could be beneficial. He emphasizes the necessity of knowing the field and being an expert in one's job in the companies he has worked for. This includes learning engineering topics in the field and engaging in mentorship. He highlights the importance of one-on-one communication, especially regarding how to progress in the industry and career advancement.

He mentions that issues like data privacy with Generative AI, GDPR, and KVKK are becoming critical obstacles. He wonders if it is possible to open a course on topics like cybersecurity. He mentions providing 3-4-week training sessions to new colleagues and conducting these training sessions during orientation periods. He notes that global compatibility, regulations, and data issues have become important in all projects and believes it is possible to raise awareness among students on these topics.

He discusses collaborations with some schools where there are around 20 partnerships. There could be periods with work placements, and he talks about students questioning whether they are on the right path, whether they are working in the right field, and the ability to explore. He suggests exploring more operational paths for students, especially encouraging part-time project assistantships for final-year students. He wonders if projects initiated by students could be more prominent in the fourth year at Bilkent.

SELİM AKSOY (Chairman)

He mentioned that they hold meetings with 3rd-year students who are interested in elective courses based on mentorship and volunteering. They provide guidance, help them explore different industries, and support them with their graduation projects. He stated that Eray Hoca organizes seminars for their graduation projects, and they can invite students to these seminars to present the big picture in different standards.

Selim Aksoy also mentioned, 'We don't have a formal program for aspiring engineering. We don't have a situation that can be formally encouraged; unfortunately, for us, the priority is for them to complete their course load and focus on their core courses. It depends a bit on the students' time management because some students can handle it. The broader the range of elective courses, the more important it is for us. Through you, we can design new electives.

We have two instructors who understand the business side of projects, and they are our graduates. They regularly meet with project groups and offer perspectives different from what we can explain. We are trying to improve this aspect, and sustainability is important for us. The major-minor aspect is university policy. There is permission for double majoring with physics and mathematics, and a minor program allows taking more plus-minus courses, but it is not very popular due to the program's intensity. Approximately 10-15 out of around 200 graduates choose this. There are groups participating in unit projects, starting companies, and supporting various competitions related to entrepreneurship. They can receive support from companies and continue here. GE 401-402 has final projects where students from different departments come together, forming virtual companies and competing in the virtual stock market. However, it is not preferred, and double majors and minors are not very common. Those who wish to take extra elective courses can do so, but it is not widely chosen.

We have a data privacy course, and the emphasis is more on the academic side than cybersecurity. Such courses can be taken, and the courses that can be offered are limited due to the number of instructors and company support.

In subjects like IT law, our law faculty members can organize seminars from time to time, and maybe it can be mentioned within the scope of elective courses to create awareness.

As a university, we are open to collaboration, and the most important thing at this point is to find students. If we can complete the part of finding students, we are open to any kind of idea.'

He also mentioned that seminars are organized for students involved in the mentorship program, but a seminar could be arranged for all students to create awareness on these topics. It was agreed to discuss organizing a seminar on the mentioned topics later.

MURAT ERGUN (Commencis)

Murat Ergun, who graduated from Sabancı University's Computer Engineering Department in 2007, has been serving as the Software Development Team Lead at Commencis for 11 years. He sponsored the CS FAIR event and currently provides guidance to students as an Innovation expert within the scope of the CS 491/492 course.

He believes that students engaging in projects during their university years can provide a significant advantage for inexperienced software developers when entering the industry.

Expressing that Commencis prefers graduates and interns from particularly reputable universities in their hiring process, he believes that confidence is related to generations and expectations. He mentioned that they aim to increase students' confidence by assigning projects to interns and expecting them to demonstrate their work after reaching a certain point.

Stating that competencies align with industry expectations, he emphasized that candidates with experience have an advantage in the hiring process. Additionally, he noted the inclusion of the AWS Cloud program for interns during their internship period and highlighted the potential benefits of such university-industry collaborations for the sector.

Expressing the need for more collaboration with the industry, he suggested that academicians, especially those working in the field of software engineering, could bring more resources to

the school. Regarding internships, he mentioned the difficulty in employing sophomore interns, stating that third-year graduates are more advantageous as they have completed more advanced courses.

Lastly, emphasizing the importance of a practical ethics course, he believes that providing practical knowledge to students on decision-making matters is crucial. Pointing out that Bilkent graduates play significant roles in decision-making in the professional world, he thinks teaching ethics with examples could be beneficial.

EREN AKBABA (Amazon Web Services)

Eren Akbaba graduated from the Bilkent University Computer Engineering Department in 2014. Previously, he worked for 4 years at Oracle, 1 year at SAP, and 3 years at IBM. For the past 1.5 years, he has been working at Amazon Web Services. He discussed the strengths of Bilkent graduates in job interviews and internships. He recommended two essential concepts, Leadership Principles and the Star Method, and suggested including them in the curriculum.

He mentioned that Bilkent graduates stand out in job interviews and internships, especially in junior positions. He believes that Bilkent has a well-functioning and robust structure. He stated that Bilkent students can successfully express themselves in human resources processes, projects, or business partnerships.

He recommended two important concepts: Leadership Principles and the Star Method. He pointed out that these concepts are highly valued in global major companies like Microsoft and Google. He expressed that having these topics, even in a small way, in the curriculum can provide significant advantages for students.

Another suggestion he made is the Cloud Computing course program. He said, 'If you want, we can explain this topic, or we can bring guest speakers to discuss these topics. I think this course program should be more geared towards the 4th year.'

Selim Aksoy mentioned at this point that the Cloud Computing course did not continue this semester due to the difficulty of being managed from the United States by Miray Kaş (class schedules, time difference).

Finally, he wanted to talk about the AWS Cloud Practitioner certification program. He mentioned that this program could be recommended as a fundamental certification for 3rd and 4th-year students. He advised students to complete this certification program.

ÖZER AYDEMİR (IOTIQ Gmbh)

Özer Aydemir, is one of the founders of IOTIQ and graduated from Bilkent University with a degree in Computer Engineering in 2002. He also completed his Master's degree at Bilkent University in 2005. He discussed the confidence and assertiveness of Bilkent graduates. He mentioned that IOTIQ regularly hires around 20 interns from Bilkent and emphasized the importance of industry collaboration.

He mentioned that IOTIQ hires approximately 20 interns from Bilkent University every year, and some of them continue to work with the company. He also highlighted their consistent participation in CS FAIR over the years.

He stated that Bilkent University graduates are more confident compared to graduates from other universities.

NURETTİN MERT AYDIN (Udemy)

Nurettin Mert Aydın graduated from the Bilkent University Computer Engineering Department in 2003 is currently working at UDEMY for 3 years. After 7 years of managerial experience, he transitioned to an individual contributor role. He shared his experiences at Udemy. He discussed the need for a balance between theoretical and industry-oriented education, emphasizing the importance of evaluating and focusing on individual interests.

In light of these experiences, he observed that Bilkent students have a different perspective. He believes that the perspective provided by Bilkent offers a well-designed foundation for achieving goals in the right place.

In real-world scenarios, engineers are expected to make instant decisions and focus on details after a certain business value has been determined. However, Bilkent graduates, due to their attention to detail and perfectionism, can focus on details before determining business value. Understanding the meaning of working with a product and a designer, as well as the importance of rapid prototyping, can help them adapt more quickly. He thinks that Bilkent has a curriculum that teaches how to learn.

Academically, he supports the idea of academia staying true to its academic nature. However, he mentions not being entirely sure about how effective the university is in terms of industry collaboration. He believes that it is important to evaluate the theoretical knowledge provided by the university and direct it towards one's own interests. Otherwise, assigning the same responsibility to everyone, especially for an engineer dealing with a product, may be insufficient.

Sharing experiences of students within an organization that starts hiring graduates after 3 years post-graduation, he expressed that students try to discover their interests and preferences during this period. After learning everything, graduates may struggle, but those who continue academically tend to be more effective in industry collaborations. He emphasized that those who pursue higher levels in software engineering and science could be more effective in such processes and organizations, contributing more to both the university and the industry.

Furthermore, he shared the view that the curriculum is flawless but mentioned a longstanding complaint. He pointed out that it is necessary to make Computer Networks a mandatory course and that many graduates choosing this course as an elective is significant.

Uğur Doğrusöz added the opinion that the real need is to have market-focused departments. He highlighted the scarcity of departments like CTIS and their curriculum focusing on research and researcher training in computer science. If market-ready graduates are desired, he expressed the need for more departments like CTIS.

MEHMET YÜKSEL (Havelsan)

Mehmet Yüksel graduated from the Bilkent University Computer Engineering Department in 1999. He gained four years of experience at Cybersoft before entering the workforce. Subsequently, since 2004, he has been working at Havelsan as a Software Engineer and has been serving as a group leader since 2019.

From the perspective of the defense industry, he believes that technical elective courses or similar arrangements should be made regarding defense industry needs at the technical level so that graduates can adapt more easily to the sector and acquire the necessary skills after graduation. At the same time, in terms of soft skills, he emphasizes that graduates are generally part of a whole but need the ability to focus more after graduation. He emphasizes the importance of interventions in the 4th year for them to gain this skill. Focusing on hardware engineering, systems engineering, management of complex projects, product development processes, and maintenance, he suggests that graduates can gain this perspective.

He believes that Bilkent graduates are talented in a corporate sense, expresses that updating the education curriculum for the defense industry could be possible to train competent graduates in the defense industry, perhaps providing a model for students from other universities to develop themselves.

Pointing out that real-time operating systems are frequently used in the defense industry at the technical level, he suggests that adding extra courses to the 4th year and developing application development courses for real-time operating systems could be beneficial for competent graduates in the defense industry. He also expresses that training in areas such as cloud computing could enhance the competencies of graduates.

He believes that more emphasis should be placed on design patterns and open architecture in the defense industry, also suggests that additional support training for closing the gaps in cybersecurity application development could be considered. Especially in an environment where the number of schools is limited, he emphasizes the need to share similar studies with other universities and consider collaborations to increase training in this field.

ÇAĞRI KILIBOZ (Milsoft)

Çağrı Kılıboz graduated from the Bilkent University Computer Engineering Department in 2010. He continued his education by completing the Bilkent University Master's program in 2013. He is currently working at Milsoft.

He shares observations that Bilkent graduates are generally more confident and social, emphasizes that the ability to work on projects together contributes to these characteristics. In technical terms, he mentioned that more specific courses focusing on artificial intelligence and software engineering skills could be beneficial. He expressed that giving more weight to

practical courses and focusing on industry-oriented departments could help students contribute more to the working sector. He clearly stated his view that fundamental knowledge provides significant contributions to students and believes that the goals are generally in place.

However, expressing uncertainties about the balance between theoretical and industryoriented education, he thinks that providing industry-oriented courses to students can help them consider pros and cons. He pointed out that candidate engineering programs may cause a loss of time while teaching students new topics and suggested that finding a balance in this regard and giving students the choice could be more beneficial. This could help students understand their areas of interest more clearly. He also mentioned that if the course load in the 4th year is reduced, students could have the opportunity to participate in such experiences.

He thinks that adding elective courses focusing on cloud technology, container technology, and popular areas to the curriculum for all students would be beneficial, and these courses should also be elective.